

CIAM S Space Models Technical Meeting Minutes 2025

Report by: Zoran Pelagic, Chairman

Zoom Meeting, March 1st, 2025

Present:

Name		Country	Title
BARTOVSKY	Tomas	CZE	CIAM Bureau Member
ROURA	Esther	ESP	Technical Expert
SEABROOK	Trevor	GBR	Technical Expert
ZACH	Slawomir	GBR	Observer
UHLIG	Peter	GER	Delegate
MIASNIKOV	Ron	ISR	CIAM Bureau Member
MAZZARACCHIO	Antonio	ITA	S/C Member
LANZONI	Luigi	ITA	Alt. Delegate
TODOROSKI	Zdravko	MKD	Delegate
DOMINIAK	Marek	POL	Delegate
BRINZA	Ionut	ROU	Observer
CUDEN	Joze	SLO	S/C Member
JEVTIC	Dragan	SRB	Observer
PELAGIC	Srdjan	SRB	Delegate / S/C Member
RADNOVIC	Goran	SRB	Observer
STOLL	Hans	SUI	S/C Member
YEGINSOY	Faruk	SUI	Delegate
PELAGIC	Zoran	SVK	CIAM Bureau Member / Chair
FLANIGAN	Chris	USA	Observer
LANGFORD	John	USA	Technical Expert

MINUTES - PROPOSALS

Note: i) Copy and paste a blank table if there are more proposals than there are tables provided; delete those tables that are not required.

ii) Add the proposal agenda paragraph number and proposal title in the first blank cell.

Technical Meeting Voting:

100 % in favour: unanimously recommended

more than 66.6% in favour: recommended by vast majority

more than 50% in favour: recommended by majority

Page 11	Class: S5				
a)	2.4 Construction Requirements		Submitted by	CRO, SVK,SRB	
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):				
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):		For: 10	Against: 2	Abstain: 2
	Technical Meeting Voting:		For: 14	Against: 0	Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary):		Unanimously recommended by the technical meeting		

Page 11	Class: S5				
b)	2.4 Construction Requirements		Submitted by	POL	
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):				
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):		For: 7	Against: 3	Abstain: 4
	Technical Meeting Voting:		For: 14	Against: 0	Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary):		Unanimously recommended by the technical meeting		

Page 10	Class: S1				
c)	2.4 Construction Requirements		Submitted by	USA	
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):				
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):		For: 8	Against: 4	Abstain: 2
	Technical Meeting Voting:		For: 11	Against: 2	Abstain: 1
	Comments (if necessary):		Recommended by the technical meeting by vast majority		

Page 11	Class: S5				
d)	2.4 Construction Requirements		Submitted by	USA	
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):				
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):		For: 1	Against: 9	Abstain: 4
	Technical Meeting Voting:		For: -	Against: -	Abstain: -
	Comments (if necessary):		Proposal withdrawn by USA		

Page 10	Class: S1				
e)	2.4 Construction Requirements		Submitted by	GBR	
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):				
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):		For: 12	Against: 1	Abstain: 1
	Technical Meeting Voting:		For: 14	Against: 0	Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary):		Unanimously recommended by the technical meeting		

Page 11	Class: S5				
f)	2.4 Construction Requirements		Submitted by	GBR	
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):				
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):		For: 2	Against: 8	Abstain: 4
	Technical Meeting Voting:		For: -	Against: -	Abstain: -
	Comments (if necessary):		Proposal withdrawn by GBR		

Page 11	Class: general	Submitted by	USA	
g)	2.4 Construction Requirements			
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? Yes (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):			
	2.4.5 Design and construction shall include attached surfaces that will provide aerodynamic stabilising and restoring forces necessary to maintain a substantially true and predictable flight path. If required by the rules for a specific class, local rules for competition and/or safety officers or judges, the competitor entering the model must present data regarding the locations of the centre of gravity, centre of pressure, gross weight, burnout weight, and/or calculated or measured flight performance of the model. Design and construction must include suitable means for providing stabilizing and restoring forces necessary to maintain a substantially true and predictable flight path. If required by safety officers or judges, the builder of the model must present data regarding the locations of the centre of gravity, centre of pressure, gross weight, burnout weight, and/or calculated or measured flight performance of the model. These data must be submitted with models S5 and S7 at model processing before a model is entered to competition.			
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 5	Against: 4	Abstain: 5
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 14	Against: 0	Abstain: 0
Comments (if necessary):		Unanimously recommended by Technical Meeting as amended		

Page 11	Class: General	Submitted by	GBR	
h)	2.4 Construction Requirements			
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):			
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):			
		For: 11	Against: 1	Abstain: 2
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 14	Against: 0	Abstain: 0
Comments (if necessary):		Unanimously recommended by the technical meeting		

Page 13	Class: General	Submitted by	ITA	
i)	3.9 Modifications			
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):			
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):			
		For: 13	Against: 1	Abstain: 0
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 14	Against: 0	Abstain: 0
Comments (if necessary):		Unanimously recommended by the technical meeting		

Page 15	Class: General	Submitted by	USA	
j)	4.3.4 Assisted Launch			
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):			
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):			
		For: 2	Against: 11	Abstain: 1
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: -	Against: -	Abstain: -
Comments (if necessary):		Proposal withdrawn by USA		

Page 15	Class: General	Submitted by	GBR	
k)	4.3.4 Assisted Launch			
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):			
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):			
		For: 7	Against: 6	Abstain: 1
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 11	Against: 2	Abstain: 1
Comments (if necessary):		Recommended by the technical meeting by vast majority		

Page 17	Class: S3, S4, S6, S9	Submitted by	ITA
l)	4.6 Disqualification		
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? Yes (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	4.6 DISQUALIFICATION		
In the S4 classes, the model must reach a stable flight within 30 s from the moment the model or any part of the model leaves the launching device, otherwise the flight is disqualified.			
In S3, S6 and S9 classes, the recovery system must deploy correctly within 30 s from the moment the model or any part of the model leaves the launching device, otherwise the flight is disqualified.			

	Once the flight/recovery device deployment has been declared valid by the RSO, any subsequent event that renders the flight unstable (such as the activation of a dethermalizer) cannot be considered a reason for disqualification.		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 13	Against: 1 Abstain: 0
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 14	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary):	Unanimously recommended by the technical meeting	

Page 17	Class: General		
m)	4.8. Timing And Classification	Submitted by	ITA
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 14	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 14	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary):	Unanimously recommended by the technical meeting	

Page 17	Class: General		
n)	4.8. Timing And Classification	Submitted by	ITA
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? Yes (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	For World and Continental Championships, a round is defined as the amount of time allocated by the organiser for a national team to prepare and launch their models for one official flight per team member (one hour is recommended duration of no less than 1 hour, time and weather permitting).		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 12	Against: 2 Abstain: 2
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 14	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary):	Unanimously recommended by the technical meeting	

Page 17	Class: S3,S4,S6,S9		
o)	4.8. Timing And Classification	Submitted by	CRO,SVK,SRB
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 11	Against: 1 Abstain: 2
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 14	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary):	Unanimously recommended by the technical meeting	

Page 18	Class: S1, S5		
p)	4.8. Timing And Classification	Submitted by	USA
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 2 Against: 10	Abstain: 2
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: - Against: -	Abstain: -
	Comments (if necessary):	Proposal withdrawn by USA	

Page 26	Class: S7		
q)	Part Nine - Scale Competition (Class S7)	Submitted by	CRO,SVK,SRB
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 10	Against: 1 Abstain: 3
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 14	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary):	Unanimously recommended by the technical meeting	

Page 26	Class: S7		
r)	Part Nine - Scale Competition (Class S7) – new paragraph	Submitted by	CRO,SVK,SRB
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 9	Against: 3 Abstain: 2
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 14	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary):	Unanimously recommended by the technical meeting	

Page 11	Class: S7		
s)	Part Nine - Scale Competition (Class S7)		Submitted by USA
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 9	Against: 3 Abstain: 2
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 14	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
Comments (if necessary):		Unanimously recommended by the technical meeting	

Page 34	Class: S7		
t)	Annex 1 - Scale Competition (Class S7)		Submitted by ITA
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 9	Against: 2 Abstain: 3
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 14	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
Comments (if necessary):		Unanimously recommended by the technical meeting	

Page 34	Class: S7		
u)	Annex 1 - Scale Competition (Class S7)		Submitted by USA
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 4	Against: 8 Abstain: 2
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 3	Against: 9 Abstain: 1
Comments (if necessary):		Proposal rejected by TM and withdrawn by USA	

Page 34	Class: S7		
v)	Annex 1 - Scale Competition (Class S7) – new table		Submitted by POL,CRO
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 8	Against: 1 Abstain: 5
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 14	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
Comments (if necessary):		Unanimously recommended by the technical meeting	

Page 15	Class: General		
w)	Part Four – General Rules For International Contests		Submitted by SVK
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 10	Against: 2 Abstain: 2
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 14	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
Comments (if necessary):		Unanimously recommended by the technical meeting	

CIAM General Ruled related to Space Models:

Page	CGR		
b)	B.2.2 Classification of Space Models		Submitted by GBR
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 10	Against: 4 Abstain: 0
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: -	Against: - Abstain: -
Comments (if necessary):		Referred back to Space S/C for further consideration	

Page	CGR		
f)	C.10.2 Space Models		Submitted by GBR
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 10	Against: 4 Abstain: 0
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 14	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
Comments (if necessary):		Unanimously recommended by the technical meeting	

Page	CGR
------	-----

h)	C.11.2 Class S - Space models	Submitted by	ITA
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 11	Against: 3 Abstain: 0
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 14	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
Comments (if necessary):		Unanimously recommended by the technical meeting	

Page	CGR		
i)	2.4 Construction Requirements	Submitted by	ITA
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 5	Against: 7 Abstain: 2
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: -	Against: - Abstain: -
Comments (if necessary):		Proposal withdrawn by ITA	

Page	CGR		
k)	C.15.2.2 Class S (Space Models)	Submitted by	POL
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 7	Against: 7 Abstain: 0
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 3	Against: 9 Abstain: 0
Comments (if necessary):		Proposal rejected by TM and withdrawn by POL	